STIP BRIAN J. SMITH, ESQ. **State Bar Number 11279** 9525 Hillwood Dr., Suite 190 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 702-380-8248 **Attorney for BARROW** 

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT** 

## IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
Plaintiff,	<i>)</i>
	) Case No.: 2:13-cr-00185-MMD-VCF
VS.	)
	) STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO
ROBERT BARROW,	) REPLY TO GOVERNMENT'S
	) RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S
Defendants.	MOTION TO SUPPRESS AND
	) REQUEST FOR EVIDENTIARY
	) HEARING (Doc. #140)
	1

Certification: This stipulation is filed pursuant to General Order 2007-04.

IT IS STIPULATED between the defendant ROBERT BARROW through his attorney BRIAN J. SMITH, ESQ., and the United States of America, through CRISTINE SILVA, Assistant United States Attorney, agree that the deadline to reply to the government's response to defendant's motion to suppress and request for evidentiary hearing (Doc. #140), and the hearing on said motion be continued to a date and time convenient to this court, but in no event earlier than thirty (30) days.

This Stipulation is entered into pursuant to General Order 2007-04 and based upon the following:

- 1. There have been no previous continuances granted to the defense in this case.
- 2. Counsel was appointed on August 28, 2015.
- 3. The defense will require more time to complete said reply.
- 4. The parties agree to the continuance.

2 3

1

- 4 5
- 6
- 7
- 8 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 23
- 24 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- time to be able to fairly resolve his case, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 6. Also, denial of this request or continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 7. For the above stated reasons, the parties agree that a continuance of the calendar call and trial date would best serve the ends of justice in this case.
- 8. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).
- 9. This is the first request for a continuance.
- DATED this 4th day of September, 2015.

## RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY:

/s/ Cristine Silva Assistant United States Attorney

**BRIAN J. SMITH** Attorney for BARROW

/s/ Brian J. Smith

Page 3 of 4

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

thoroughly research and prepare this case, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

- Additionally, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 3. For all of the above stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the trial date, and such continuance outweighs the best interest of the public and the defendant to a speedy trial.
- 4. The additional time requested by the stipulation, is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).
- 5. This is the first request for a continuance.

## **ORDER**

IT IS ORDERED that the reply deadline currently scheduled August 30, 2015, at be vacated and reset to  $\frac{\text{October 8, 2015}}{\text{October 8, 2015}}$ .

IT IS SO ORDERED:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Magistrate

DATED: September 8, 2015